

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/326,443

Applicant(s)

Doyle et al

Examiner

Dung Dinh

Group Art Unit

2756

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dung Dinh

(3) _____

(2) Charles E. Krueger

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jan 26, 1998Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 44

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The applicant agreed to amend "display window" in line 28 of claim 1 to --display area-- to distinguish that it is an area within the hypermedia document that displays the object and not a separate window. The same amendment was made to claim 44, line 39. Further in line 17 of claim 44, "said by the text formats" was amended to read --by said text formats--.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☒ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Frank J. Asta
Dung Dinh

FRANK J. ASTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2700

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.